# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 27 2007

## Practitioner's Docket No. <u>U 013688-5</u>

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Laurent DE VOLDER

Application No.:

10/018,302

Group No.:

2854

Filed: November 8, 2002

Examiner:

E. Eickholt

For:

PROCESS FOR INKING A PRINTING PLATE WITH THERMOPLASTIC INKS AND INK

TANKS TO BE USEDTHEREIN

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### STATUS INQUIRY

WA.	RN	IN	G.

Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.1704(c)(10). See Notice of May 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111-112, June 26,

		2001.	
1.	More that	n 11 months have passed since	
		NEW APPLICATIONS the filing of this application on No communication has been receivaction on this application.	ved from the Patent and Trademark Office indicating
. —			en received from the Patent and Trademark Office.
		(When using Express Mail, the Expr	R 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10* ess Mail label number is mandatory; fication is optional.)
I h	ereby certify th	at, on the date shown below, this correspond	ence is being:
		МАП	LING .
	deposited wi Alexandria,	th the United States Postal Service in an envel VA 22313-1450.	ope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450,
		37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. 1.10*
with sufficient postage as first class mail.			as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"  Mailing Label No (mandatory)
×	transmitted b	TRANSM y facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Offi	
Date: <u>July 27, 2007</u>		2007	Signature
			William R. Evans (type or print name of person certifying)

• Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" ( $\S$  1.10) or facsimile transmission ( $\S$  1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Status Inquiry—page 1 of 3) 9-3

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

□ APPEALED APPLICATION		•	30L 2 / 2	
	The Appeal Brief wa	s filed on		
	(check and comp	lete applicable items below)		
	☐ An Examiner's Answ	ver was mailed on	•	
	☐ A Reply to the Exam	iner's Answer was submitted on	_	
×	ALLOWED APPLICATION	S		
	the mailing of Petitio	on on <u>August 11, 2006</u> .	•	
2. Kindly adbox below.	vise the undersigned of the pres	ent status of this application, by checking t	he appropriate	
NOTE:	M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries,	8 <sup>th</sup> Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inc	quiries as follows:	
	NEW APPLICATION			
	addition to a formal Notice of Allowineed for status inquiries even as a prapplication may have been passed to	provide for the routine mailing from the Technology lowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a f ance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would se recautionary measure where the applicant may belie to issue on the first examination. However, as an es a Notice of Allowance is not received within three ma	form PTOL-37 in eem to obviate the eve his or her new exception, a status	
	Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.			
	Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.			
	AMENDED APPLICATIONS			
	monins of the date the examiner recei reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 mon for replies to the Office actions, adeq prima facie proof of receipt of such submission of a copy of the postcard	to be taken up by the examiner and an action comp vers the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is ths have elapsed with no response from the Office. A uately and specifically identifying the papers filed, w papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filin with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the ne reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petiti 17 C.F.R. 1.113.	not in order after l postcard receipt vill be considered ag of a reply, the	
Reg. No.:				
SIGNATURE OF PRACT		SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER		
Tel. No.: ( )		William R. Evans, 25858, (212) 708-193	30	
Customer No.		(type or print name of practitioner)		
Customer No.:		P.O. Address		
		c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10022		

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLICATIO	N SER	IAL NO IS CUF	RENTLY
	ASSI	GNED TO GROUP ACTION BY THE EXAM APPLICANT'S RESPONS	
APPEAL NO.			
	IS AV	VAITING ACTION BY THE RFERENCES	BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND
		DATE OF HEARING EXP	ECTED
		DECISION EXPECTED	•